

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,148	10/02/2003	Yehuda Einav	081627-0305072	6393	
909	909 7590 11/20/2006			· EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3781		
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/676,148	EINAV ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen J. Castellano	3781
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 (2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matters, pro	
		00 0.0.270.
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 3-12,15-23 and 29 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) 12,15-23 and 29 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 3 and 5 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>	• •	
7) Claim(s) <u>4 and 6-11</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the etterhold detailed Office action for a lie	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis  Attachment(s)	t of the certified copies not receive	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3781

Claims 1, 2, 13, 14 and 24-28 have been canceled. Claims 3-12, 15-23 and 29 are pending.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasper et al. (Kasper) in view of Skillius and Fiore ('553).

Kasper discloses a container capable of holding tools and performing as a toolbox, the container comprising a base (tank 50) and a single cover (lid 700) (see Fig. 3 and 16. The base has sidewalls and one of the sidewalls having a locking element (tab 786), a handle (790) is mounted to the cover, the handle has a handle portion and a locking mechanism (opening 788 and wall 798). The handle includes three positions, a first locked position where the handle is upright (transport position), an unlocked position (service position) and a second locked position where the handle is in a downwardly inclined position (storage position). Kasper discloses the invention except for the cover being (1) pivotally mounted to a sidewall and (2) the unlocked position being intermediate to the first and second locked positions. Skillius teaches a lid with two half covers, each being pivotally mounted to a sidewall and a handle with three positions, a first locked position with the handle upright for transport, an intermediate unlocked position (see Fig. 2, where handle is angled at approximately 45 degrees to plane of cover) and a second locked position where the handle is in a downwardly inclined position for storage within recess

Art Unit: 3781

20a or 20b. Fiore discloses a lid which is a single cover pivotally mounted to one of the sidewalls of the base and a downwardly inclined second locked position (position "B" as shown in Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to modify the single cover of Kasper to be pivotally mounted to a sidewall to allow for easier alignment of the cover in a closed position and to prevent inadvertent loss of the cover. It would have been obvious to modify the unlocked position to be an intermediate position between the first and second locking positions in order to allow a circumferential progression of the handle from transport and locked to stationary and unlocked for opening and for access to the contents and finally to stationary, locked and stored as taught by Skillius to provide a handle wherein the position of the handle is easy to remember because the order of using the handle coincides with the circumferential progression of the handle from one location to the next.

Claims 4 and 6-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 12, 15-23 and 29 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed October 16, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The change of the preamble to "toolbox" in claims 3-11 is minimal. The references applied in the rejections of record are capable of holding tools and are deemed to meet the "toolbox" limitation.

Applicant's analysis of the analogousness of the references is not well taken since applicant makes a judgment purely on superficial grounds that Kasper discloses a cleaning machine with water reservoir tank while the present invention pertains to a toolbox. The

Art Unit: 3781

similarity of the present invention and the applied references is that they all are boxes or bins with lids having particular cover locking arrangements for their lids, the lids of Skillius and Fiore are hinged lids, the locking of all three references is provided in the carrying handle structure. Stated more simply, the field of endeavor is boxes with hinged lids having carrying handles with locking structure associated with the carrying handle's position. If the field of endeavors should be deemed somehow different, then the particular problem solved of creating an integrated carrying handle and locking structure for locking lids of boxes or bins would be the same for the present invention and the applied references.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flexibility plan (IFP).

Art Unit: 3781

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

sjc